Cover Remarks for Power Point Presentation on Mass Rectification as Propulsion

There is a missing verbal part to accompany this Power Point Presentation that is, of necessity, highly variable and dependent on the type of audience being addressed. There seems to be no way around this omission at this point. Eventually, if the need persists, a complete paper could be composed showing all needed aspects.

This presentation has a dual purpose. One of these is to augment previous, thinly described claims made by Ken Shoulders on a method of producing inertial propulsion, not requiring mass to be thrown overboard, for a variety of uses including superluminal propulsion for space flight.

The second aim is to show the incredibly slim margin of initial information available to the researcher for making decisions on exploration in Scientific Frontier work. In this second topic, there is intent to point out that not everyone is acclimatized to such a rarefied environment and that they should consider occupying another sphere of influence, whether their specialty is either research or funding.

To lend more veracity to this second part, a subject has been selected whose outcome has not been disclosed to the public. This selection process precludes anyone in the audience knowing in advance which way the arguments will go in reality, thus increasing the value as an intriguing thought process but delaying the ultimate answer.

It is hoped that the subject addressed here, being highly contentious, will create debate and forward motion in the EVO field that has unlocked such possibilities.

Mass Rectification as Propulsion Or Knowing When It's Time to Leave Frontier Science to Someone Else by Ken Shoulders 2008

Elements of Scientific Progress

Positive Elements: Cood working environment Live at work Flexible working hours Financial stability Single, long-term goal Minimum personnel



Negative Elements: Detailed proposal writing Foreed interim reporting Conferences Travel time loss Working under others Excessive capital equipment



PUBLISH NOTHING UNTIL FINISHED

AS

ONLY A FINISHED INVENTION SPEAKS EFFECTIVELY

EVOs Allow Violation of Mass, Momentum and Energy Conservation Laws of Conservative, Single Particle Physics

New, Multi-Particle EVO Physics Laws Readily Allow All Such Conservation Violations

Early Indicators of Inertial Propulsion Possibilities



View of EVOs carrying massive loads of atoms is partial testimony to mass reduction mode.

Assumed limitations:

No ion loss going into sample but much coming out both ways gives EVO carrying limit. Long path length going in is to give clearance for reverse ejection and is not fundamental.

Ion plume being energy analyzed	Page 4, Fig. 5 "Superluminal Particle Measurements" and Page 4, Fig. 6 "Permittivity Transitions"
White EVO being energy analyzed 5	00:00:00:00 SEP.27,03 CH.00 06:41:31

Switching of EVO Between White and Black State

-	Page 6, Fig. 10 "Superluminal Particle Measurements"
EVO showing increased electron energy output both before and after breakup 10	ОО: ОО: ОО: во SEP. 27, 83 CH. ОО ОЬ: 41: 33

Internal EVO Energy States Allow EVO and Electron Acceleration to 100 KeV level

Field Induced, Black-to-White Transition



Deflection of EVOs in Oscillatory State



Accumulated Evidence

Mass reduction mode is justifiable Switching of EVO States is Evident Oscillating EVO Action is Observed

<u>Inferences</u>

There Can be Mass Rectification Using an Oscillating EVO Cycle Between White and Black States That Results in Inertial Propulsion

Safety is Improved by Oscillating EVOs Only Instead of Using Nucleons

No Difference in Thrust Produced Between Nucleons and Electrons by Raising Oscillation Frequency of Electrons

Limitations of Knowledge

If one finds this paucity of data and assumptions insufficient to proceed, it is time to leave frontier science to others.

Because, on balance, this is about all you ever get as a bona fide beginning!